



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

December 29, 2004

Mr. Jose R. Guerrero
Montalvo & Ramirez
900 North Main
McAllen, Texas 78501

OR2004-10861

Dear Mr. Guerrero:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 215743.

South Texas College (the "college"), which you represent, received a request for "the proposals[,] any additional submissions . . . and a copy of the contract" related to the operations of the college bookstore. You state that the requestor's proposal will be released. You assert that some of the requested information may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code but take no position and make no argument regarding this exception. Instead, pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, you notified four companies whose proprietary interests may be implicated by the request: College Bookstores of America, Inc. ("CBA"); Barnes & Noble College Booksellers, Inc. ("Barnes & Noble"); Texas Book Company ("TBC"); and Follet Higher Education Group, Inc. ("Follet"). See Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). We have received correspondence from TBC. We have considered all claimed exceptions and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that you did not submit the requested contract. We assume the college has released this information to the requestor. If it has not, it must do so at this time to the extent that such contract exists. See Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302. We caution, however, that section 552.352 of the Act imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, CBA, Barnes & Noble, and Follett have not submitted to this office reasons explaining why their information should not be released. Therefore, these companies have provided us with no basis to conclude that they have a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See, e.g., id.*, § 552.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise that claims exception for commercial or financial information under section 552.110(b) must show by specific factual evidence that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). Therefore, the information pertaining to CBA, Barnes & Noble, and Follett may not be withheld from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code.

TBC claims that its information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code. This section excepts from disclosure "information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder." The purpose of section 552.104 is to protect the interests of a governmental body, not third parties. *See* Open Records Decision No. 592 (1991). Because section 552.104 is designed to protect the interests of governmental bodies and not third parties, and the college has chosen not to argue section 552.104 in this instance, none of the submitted information pertaining to TBC may be withheld on this basis.

We next turn to TBC's claims under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects the property interests of private persons by excepting from disclosure two types of information: (1) trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision and (2) commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.

The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts. *Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763 (Tex.), *cert. denied*, 358 U.S. 898 (1958); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 2 (1990). Section 757 provides that a trade secret is

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It

differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors. RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939).¹ This office has held that if a governmental body takes no position with regard to the application of the trade secret branch of section 552.110 to requested information, we must accept a private person's claim for exception as valid under that branch if that person establishes a *prima facie* case for exception and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5-6 (1990). However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) applies unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. See Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) excepts from disclosure "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." Gov't Code § 552.110(b). An entity will not meet its burden under section 552.110(b) by a mere conclusory assertion of a possibility of commercial harm. Cf. *National Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Morton*, 498 F.2d 765, 770 (D.C. Cir. 1974). Normally, an interested third party raising section 552.110(b) must provide a specific factual or evidentiary showing that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure of requested information. See Open Records Decision No. 639 at 4 (1996) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary

¹The six factors that the Restatement gives as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret are:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); see also Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure).

Having considered the company's arguments, we find that TBC has established that release of its client and pricing information would cause the company harm. Therefore the college must withhold such information under section 552.110(b). However, we find that TBC has made only conclusory allegations that release of the remaining information would cause the company substantial competitive injury and has provided no specific factual or evidentiary showing to support such allegations. Thus, none of the remaining information may be withheld pursuant to section 552.110(b).

In addition, after considering the company's arguments, we find that TBC has neither shown that any of the remaining information at issue meets the definition of a trade secret nor demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim. Thus, we are unable to conclude that section 552.110(a) applies to any of the remaining information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 402. We have marked the information that the college must withhold pursuant to section 552.110.

Finally, we note that some of the submitted information appears to be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, the college must withhold the marked information under section 552.110 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released in accordance with applicable copyright laws for any information protected by copyright.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the

governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877)673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512)475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Cindy Nettles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CN/krl

Ref: ID# 215743

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Sandra Kayser
Nebraska Book Company
P. O. Box 80529
Lincoln, Nebraska 68501-0529
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Leah Curtis Morris
Curtis, Alexander, McCampbell & Morris
P. O. Box 1256
Greenville, Texas 75403-1256
attorney for Texas Book Company
(w/o enclosures)

Follett Higher Education Group, Inc.
c/o Mr. Jose R. Guerrero
Montalvo & Ramirez
900 North Main
McAllen, Texas 78501
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ben S. Dixon
Barnes & Noble College Booksellers, Inc.
120 Mountain View Boulevard
Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Lonnie Viner
College Bookstores of America, Inc.
11559 Rock Island Court
Maryland Heights, Missouri 63043
(w/o enclosures)